

## **REMARKS**

Applicants will now address each of the Examiner's objections and rejections in the order in which they appear in the Final Rejection.

### I. Drawings

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner objects to the drawings under 37 CFR §1.83(a) as allegedly not showing every feature specified in the claims. In particular, the Examiner states that the first color filter, second color filter and third color filter must be shown or the feature canceled from the claims. The Examiner further states that the figures only show one color filter 2301. This objection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants respectfully disagree with this objection by the Examiner. It is believed that the objected to feature is shown, for example, in Fig. 28A which shows a structure comprising a color filter of one selected from the group consisting of three colors R, G and B.

However, while Applicants disagree with the Examiner's objection, in order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicants have canceled Claims 42-45 and added new Claims 46-70. The new claims no longer recite the phrase objected to by the Examiner. Accordingly, this objection is now moot, and it is requested that it be withdrawn.

### II. Specification

In the Office Action, the Examiner objects to the title and suggests a new title. Applicants have amended the title and request that this objection now be withdrawn.

The Examiner also objects to the "amendment filed 1/29/02" (with a certificate of mailing dated December 7, 2001) as introducing new matter. In particular, the Examiner believes that the

added material of a first color filter, second color filter and third color filter is not supported in the specification as filed. The Examiner then states that the specification only discloses one color filter. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants believe that page 96, lns. 19-22 of the specification support this feature. Further, for the reasons discussed above, the drawings also support this feature.

However, while Applicants disagree with the Examiner's objection, in order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicants have canceled Claims 42-45 and added new Claims 46-70. The new claims no longer recite the phrase objected to by the Examiner. Accordingly, this objection is now moot, and it is requested that it be withdrawn.

### III. Claims Rejections - 35 USC §112

The Examiner further rejects to Claims 42-45 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which is not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In particular, the Examiner states the specification does not disclose a first color filter, second color filter and third color filter, but only discloses one color filter. This rejection is also respectfully traversed.

As explained above, Applicants respectfully disagree with this rejection and believe that the drawings and specification clearly support this feature.

However, while Applicants disagree with the Examiner's rejection, in order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicants have canceled Claims 42-45 and added new Claims 46-70. The new claims no longer recite the phrase objected to by the Examiner. Accordingly, this rejection is now moot, and it is requested that it be withdrawn.

IV. Claims Rejections - 35 USC §103

The Examiner also rejects Claims 42-45 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. '485 in view of Zhong et al. '721. This rejection is also respectfully traversed.

As explained above, in order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicants have canceled Claims 42-45 and added new Claims 46-70.

In the present invention, the first electrode and the second electrode are not directly connected, and an oxide film of the first electrode is interposed between the first and second electrodes. Further, the first and second electrodes, with the oxide film of the first electrode interposed therebetween, constitute a storage capacitor.

Applicants respectfully submit that neither of cited references disclose or suggest these features. Accordingly, it is requested that this rejection now be withdrawn.

New Claims

Applicants are adding new Claims 46-70 herewith. If any fee is due for these claims, please charge our deposit account 50/1039.

Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that all of the rejections and objections in the Final Rejection have now been overcome. Accordingly, the application is now in a condition for allowance.

If any fee is due for this amendment, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-1039.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark J. Murphy  
Registration No. 34,225

Date: *February 18, 2003*  
COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,  
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.  
200 West Adams Street  
Suite 2850  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 236-8500

Marked-up copy of the amendments herein:

**IN THE TITLE:**

Please amend the title as follows:

Semiconductor Device Comprising A Thin Film Transistor Comprising A Semiconductor Thin Film And Method of Manufacturing The Same

